arXiv:1205.5156v1 [math.LO] 23 May 2012

Uncountable Real Closed Fields with PA Integer Parts

David Marker[*] Charles Steinhorn[†]
University of Illinois at Chicago Vassar College

March 4, 2013

Abstract

D'Aquino, Knight and Starchenko classified the countable real closed fields with integer parts that are nonstandard models of Peano Arithmetic. We rule out some possibilities for extending their results to the uncountable and study real closures of ω_1-like models of PA.

If K is a real closed field we say that a subring M of K is an *integer part* of K if M is discretely ordered, i.e., there is no element $m \in M$ with $0 < m < 1$, and for every $x \in K$ there is $m \in M$ such that $|x - m| < 1$. A surprising theorem of Mourgues and Ressayre [9] tells us that every real closed field has an integral part.

Integer parts satisfy a very weak fragment of Peano Arithmetic. By Open Induction, we mean the fragment of Peano Arithmetic (PA) in the language $\{+, -, \cdot, <, 0, 1\}$ where we restrict the induction schema to quantifier free formulas. Sheperdson [11] showed that the nonnegative part of an ordered ring is a model of open induction if and only if the ring is an integer part of its real closure. Open Induction is a very weak fragment. Indeed, Sheperdson showed that it was too weak to prove the irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$. D'Aquino, Knight and Starchenko [3] looked at the question of when a real closed field has an integer part that is a nonstandard model of PA.

---

[*] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0653484.
[†] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0801256.

Recall that a structure $\mathcal{M}$ in a finite language $\mathcal{L}$ is *resplendent* if and only if for any finite $L^* \supset L$ and any recursive L^*-theory T, there is an expansion of $\mathcal{M}$ to an L^*-structure $\mathcal{M}^* \models T$ and that a structure $\mathcal{M}$ is *recursively saturated* if for any recursive set of formulas $\gamma(v, \overline{w})$ in free variables $v, \overline{w}$ if $\overline{a} \in M$ and $\gamma(v, \overline{a})$ is consistent with the elementary diagram of $\mathcal{M}$ then γ is realized in $\mathcal{M}$. Barwise and Schlipf [1] showed that, in a finite language, every resplendent model is recursively saturated and every countable recursively saturated model is resplendent.

It's easy to see that every resplendent real closed field has an integer part that is a model of PA. D'Aquino, Knight and Starchenko showed that any real closed field with a nonstandard model of PA as an integer part is recursively saturated. Thus, in the countable case we can conclude that a real closed field has an integer part that is a nonstandard model of PA if and only if it is recursively saturated. Kołodziejczy and Jeřábek [5] generalized this work to show that a real closed field must be recursively saturated even to have an integer part that is a nonstandard model of IE_2, where IE_2 is the fragment of $\mathrm{I}\Delta_0$ where we allow induction for formulas beginning with a string of bounded existential quantifiers followed by a string of bounded universal quantifiers.

Is there a natural characterization of the uncountable real closed fields with nonstandard models of PA for integer parts? We begin by showing the first two natural guesses don't work. In §1 we show that there are recursively saturated (indeed even $\aleph_1$-saturated) real closed fields with no model of PA as an integer part and in §2 we show that the real closure of an ω_1-like model of PA is not resplendent. One interesting consequence of [3] is that two countable nonstandard models of PA have isomorphic real closures if and only if they have the same standard systems. Can this be generalized to ω_1-like models? In §3 we show that two ω_1-like models of PA with the same standard system have real closures with isomorphic value groups, but in §4 we show that it is, at least, consistent with ZFC that there are $2^{\aleph_1}$ very similar ω_1-like models of PA with the same standard system and pairwise non-isomorphic real closures.

The authors are very grateful to Roman Kossak for several discussions on the material in §4, particularly for making them aware of Lemma 4.2. The first author would also like to thank the CUNY Graduate Center for their hospitality during the 2011–12 academic year.

1 Saturation v. Integer Parts

We begin by showing that there are $\aleph_1$-saturated real closed fields where no integer part is a model of PA.

If $(G,+,<)$ is an ordered abelian group and k is a field, we can form the Hahn series field, $k((G))$ of formal sums

$$f=\sum_{g\in G} a_g t^g$$

where the support of f,

$$\mathrm{supp}(f)=\{g : a_g\neq 0\}$$

is well ordered. We identify $t^0=1$. We add two series componentwise and multiply using the rule

$$\left(\sum_{g\in G} a_g t^g\right)\left(\sum_{g\in G} b_g t^g\right)=\sum_{g\in G}\left(\sum_{g_1+g_2=g} a_{g_1}b_{g_2}\right)t^g.$$

This operation is well defined and makes $k((G))$ a field. The field $k((G))$ has a natural valuation given by $v(f)=\min \mathrm{supp}(f)$. The following lemma summarizes the basic facts we will need about $k((G))$. See, for example [10] for more details.

Lemma 1.1 *i) If k is real closed and G is divisible, then $k((G))$ is a real closed field. In this case, the unique ordering agrees with the lexicographic ordering and the infinitesimal elements are the elements ϵ with $v(\epsilon)>0$.*

ii) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n$ is a formal power series over k and $\epsilon\in k((G))$ with $v(\epsilon)>0$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n\epsilon^n$ is a well defined element of $k((G))$.

We will show that we can choose G so that that $R((G))$ is $\aleph_1$-saturated but does not have a model of PA as an integer part. Thus recursive saturation is not enough to guarantee a model of PA as integer part. We need the following lemma from the folklore. Sharper versions appear in [7], but we include the proof for completeness.

Lemma 1.2 *Let G be an $\aleph_1$-saturated divisible ordered abelian group. Consider the real closed Hahn series field $K=\mathbb{R}((G))$. Then K is $\aleph_1$-saturated.*

Proof It suffices to shows that if we have

$$a_0 < \ldots < a_n < \ldots < b_n < \ldots < b_0$$

with $a_n < b_m$ for all n and m, then there is $x \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty}[a_n, b_n]$. Let $v : K^\times \to G$ be the usual valuation and let $\gamma_n = v(b_n - a_n)$. Thinning the sequence if necessary there are three cases to consider.

Case 1 $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \ldots$ and for all i, j $v(b_0 - b_j) > \gamma_i$ (or, similarly, the analogous case where all the a_i are very close to a_0).

In this case we use the $\aleph_1$-saturation of G to find γ such that for all i, j $\gamma_i < \gamma < v(b_0 - b_j)$. Let $x = b_0 - t^\gamma$. Then

$$v(b_j - x) = \min v(b_0 - x), v(b_j - b_0) = \gamma \text{ and } x < b_j$$

and

$$v(a_j - x) = \min v(a_j - b_j), v(b_j - x) = \gamma_i \text{ and } a_j < x.$$

Thus x realizes the type

Case 2 $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \ldots$ and $v(b_{n+1} - b_n) = v(a_{n+1} - a_n) = \gamma_n$ for all n.

If $a_n = \sum r_{n,\gamma} t^\gamma$, let $s_n = \sum_{\gamma < \gamma_n} r_{n,\gamma} t^\gamma$. Then s_n is an initial segment of s_{n+1} and $s_{n+1} \in [a_n, b_n]$. Let s be the natural limit of the s_n then s realizes the type.

Case 3 There is γ such that $\gamma_n = \gamma$ for all n.

There are real numbers $c_n \neq d_n$ such that $a_n = c_n(1 + \epsilon_n)$ and $b_n = d_n(1 + \delta_n)$, where $v(\epsilon_n), v(\delta_n) > 0$. Clearly $c_0 \leq c_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_1 \leq d_0$. Choose $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_n \leq r \leq d_n$ for all n. There are several subcases.

Subcase 3a $c_n < r < d_n$ for all n.

Then rt^γ realizes the type.

Subcase 3b There is N such that $c_n < r = d_n$ for all $n \geq N$.

Use the $\aleph_1$-saturation of G to find a δ such that $\gamma < \delta < v(b_n - rt^\gamma)$ for all $n \geq N$. Then $r_n t^\gamma - t^\delta$ realizes the type.

The case when the c_n are eventually constant is similar.

Lemma 1.3 *Suppose there is* $M \subset K$ *an integral part with* $M \models \mathrm{PA}$*. Then there is* $E : K \to K$ *an exponential map that is a surjective homomorphism from the additive group onto the multiplicative group of positive elements.*

Proof Let μ the maximal ideal of infinitesimals of $\mathbb{R}((G))$. Let $E_0 : \mu \to 1+\mu$ be the function

$$\epsilon \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^n}{n!}.$$

The following properties of E_0 are well known, see, for example [4]:

i) E_0 is well defined;

ii) $E_0(x+y) = E_0(x)E_0(y)$;

iii) E_0 is surjective with inverse

$$l(1+\epsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1}\epsilon^n.$$

Since $M \models PA$ there is a definable $m \mapsto 2^m$ on the positive elements extending exponentiation on the natural numbers such that $2^{m+n} = 2^m 2^n$. For $m \in M$ with $m < 0$ define $2^m = 1/2^{-m}$.

We define an exponential on K as follows. Suppose $x \in K$. There is $m \in M$ such that $m \leq x < m+1$. Let $x = m + r + \epsilon$ where $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $\epsilon \in \mu$. Define

$$E(x) = 2^m 2^r E_0(x \ln 2).$$

Suppose $x, y \in K$ where $x = m + r + \epsilon$ and $y = n + s + \delta$. Then

$$x + y = \begin{cases} (m+n) + (r+s) + (\epsilon+\delta) & \text{if } (r+s+\epsilon+\delta < 1) \\ (m+n+1) + (r+s-1) + (\epsilon+\delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$E(x+y) = \begin{cases} 2^{m+n}2^{r+s} + E_0(\ln 2(\epsilon+\delta)) & \text{if } (r+s+\epsilon+\delta < 1) \\ 2^{m+n+1}2^{r+s-1} + E_0(\ln 2(\epsilon+\delta)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In either case, $E(x+y) = E(x)E(y)$.

For $y \in K$, if $x \geq 1$, we can find $m \in M$ such that $2^m \leq y < 2^{m+1}$ then we can find $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $1 \leq r \leq 2$ and $\epsilon \in \mu$ such that $y = 2^m r(1+\epsilon)$. Let

$$x = m + \ln r + \frac{l(1+\epsilon)}{\ln 2}.$$

Then $0 \leq \ln r \leq 1$ and $E(x) = y$. For $0 < y < 1$, there is x such that $E(x) = 1/y$. Then $E(-x) = y$. Thus E is a surjective homomorphism of the additive group onto the multiplicative group of positive elements.

Corollary 1.4 *There is an $\aleph_1$-saturated real closed field such that no integer part is a model of* PA.

Proof Kuhlmann, Kuhlmann, and Shelah [8] show that Hahn fields $\mathbb{R}((G))$ can not support such an exponential.

Using the refinements from [7], it is easy to extend this this result to show that, for any infinite κ, κ-saturation is insufficient to guarantee existence of an integer part that is a model of PA.

Further refinements of the results in this section can be found in [2].

2 Integer Parts v. Resplendence

We next show that the real closure of an uncountable model of PA need not be resplendent.

If K is a real closed field and O is a convex subring, there is a canonical valuation $v_O : K \to \Gamma_O$ where $v_O(x) \leq v_O(y)$ if and only if $y/x \in O$. Let k_O be the residue field. If O is the convex subring of finite elements, this is the standard valuation $v : K^\times \to \Gamma$. If $\Gamma_O \subset \Gamma$ is the convex subgroup $\{v(x) : v_O(x) = 0\}$, then $\Gamma_O \cong \Gamma/\Gamma_0$.

Proposition 2.1 *If K is a resplendent real closed field, there is a convex subring O such that $|k_O| = |K|$ and $(k_O, +, <) \cong \Gamma_O$. In particular, if Γ is the value group of K, then Γ has bounded intervals of cardinality $|K|$.*

Proof Let F be a real closed subfield of $\mathbb{R}((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$ of cardinality $2^{\aleph_0}$ such that for standard valuation we have reside field $\mathbb{R}$ and value group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. Then F has the properties described in the Proposition. Since this is true in some real closed field, it will be true in every resplendent real closed field.

The value group Γ_O has bounded intervals of cardinality $|K|$. Since Γ_O is a quotient of Γ by a convex subgroup, the same is true for Γ.

We will show this property fails for real closures of some nonstandard models of PA. Recall that a linear order is ω_1-like, if it is uncountable but every proper initial segment is countable. Real closures of ω_1-like models of PA do not have the above property. Suppose $\mathcal{M}$ is an ω_1-like model of PA.

Notation: If $\mathcal{M}$ is a ring, we let $Q(\mathcal{M})$ denote the fraction field of $\mathcal{M}$ and $R(\mathcal{M})$ denote its real closure. For K a real closed field, we let $v(K)$ denote its value group with respect to the standard valuation.

Lemma 2.2 *If $\mathcal{M} \prec_e \mathcal{N}$ are models of PA, then $v(R(\mathcal{M}))$ is a convex subgroup of $v(R(\mathcal{N}))$.*

Proof Let $g \in v(R(\mathcal{N})) \setminus v(R(\mathcal{M}))$. Without loss of generality, $g < 0$, i.e., there is $x \in R(\mathcal{N})$ infinite, with $v(x) = g$. But $\mathcal{N}$ is an integer-part of $R(\mathcal{N})$. Thus there is $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $|x - n| < 1$. Since x is infinite, $v(x) = v(n)$. But $n > R(\mathcal{M})$, so

Corollary 2.3 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is an ω_1-like then $v(R(\mathcal{M}))$ is ω_1-like, i.e., for any $g > 0$, $\{h : |h| < g\}$ is countable. In particular, $R(\mathcal{M})$ is not resplendent.*

3 Value groups of ω_1-like models

Let G be a divisible ordered abelian group. For $a, b \in G$ let $r(a, b) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : qb < a\}$. The *standard system* of G is $\mathrm{SS}(G) = \{r(a, b) : a, b \in G\}$. In a real closed field K, we define the standard system as $SS(K) = \{r(a) : a \in K\}$ where $r(a) = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : q < a\}$. In a nonstandard model of PA the standard system is the set of $r(a) = \{n :$ the n^{th}-prime divides $a\}$.

Lemma 3.1 *If G is recursively saturated, then:*

i) if $a, b, c \in G$, there is $d \in G$ such that $r(a, b) = r(d, c)$.

ii) G is $\mathrm{SS}(G)$-saturated, i.e., any complete n-type realized in G is in the Turing ideal generated by $\mathrm{SS}(G)$ and for any partial type $p(v, \overline{w})$ recursive in an element of $\mathrm{SS}(G)$, if $\overline{a} \in G$ and $p(v, \overline{a})$ is consistent with the elementary diagram of G, then p is realized in G.

Lemma 3.2 *If K is a recursively saturated real closed field, then $v(K)$ is recursively saturated. Indeed, $v(K)$ is $\mathrm{SS}(K)$-saturated.*

Proof We can ransform a type p over $v(K)$ to a type q over K, since, for $x, y_1, \ldots, y_n > 0$, $v(x) < \sum m_i v(y_i)$ if and only if $x > \prod y_i^{m_i}$.

We can now appeal to D'Aquino, Knight and Starchenko.

Corollary 3.3 *If $\mathcal{M} \models \mathrm{PA}$ and K is the real closure of $\mathcal{M}$, then $v(K)$ is recursively saturated. Indeed, $v(K)$ is $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{M})$-saturated.*

Theorem 3.4 *If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are ω_1-like models of PA with the same standard system, then their value groups are isomorphic.*

We can find

$$\mathcal{M}_0 \prec_e \mathcal{M}_1 \prec_e \ldots \prec_e \mathcal{M}_\alpha \prec_e \ldots$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_0 \prec_e \mathcal{N}_1 \prec_e \ldots \prec_e \mathcal{N}_\alpha \prec_e \ldots$$

continuous chains of countable models with $\bigcup \mathcal{M}_\alpha = \mathcal{M}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{N}_\alpha = \mathcal{N}$. Elements of the standard system of a model of PA are coded arbitrarily low in the nonstandard part so $\mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{SS}(\mathcal{M}_0)$ is countable.

Let G_α be the value group of $R(\mathcal{M}_\alpha)$ and let G'_α be the value group of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$. We will inductively define a continuous sequence of isomorphisms $\sigma_\alpha : G_\alpha \to G'_\alpha$ with

$$\sigma_0 \subset \sigma_1 \subset \ldots \subset \sigma_\alpha \subset \ldots.$$

We first need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.5 *If $G \subseteq H$ are ordered divisible abelian groups, G is convex in H and H/G is nontrivial and finite dimensional, then there is $h \in H$ such that if $g \in H$ and $g > G$, then $mg > h$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, there is $h \in H$ such that every element of H is bounded above by mh for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof Suppose not. Then we can find $h_0 > h_1 > \ldots$ in H such that each $h_i > G$ but $h_n > mh_n$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. But this contradicts the fact that H/G is finite dimensional.

The second statement is similar.

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G_{\alpha+1} \setminus G_\alpha$. Let H be the divisible hull of $G_\alpha \cup \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$. There is $g \in G_{\alpha+1}$ such that $g > G_\alpha$ but $g < h$ for all $h \in H$ with $h > G_\alpha$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.5 there is $h \in H$ such that $h > G_\alpha$ and if $x \in H$ and $x > G_\alpha$, then $mx > h$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We can find $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+1} \setminus \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ such that $v(1/a) = h$. There is $b \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+1}$ such that $2^b \leq 1/a \leq 2^{b+1}$. Then $b > \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ and $b < \sqrt[m]{1/a}$ but for all nonzero $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $v(1/b) > G_\alpha$, but $v(1/b) < h/m$ for all nonzero $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g = v(1/b)$.

The next lemma summarize the main inductive step of the proof.

Lemma 3.7 *Suppose $\sigma_\alpha : G_\alpha \to G'_\alpha$ is an isomorphism. Then σ_α can be extended to an isomorphism $\sigma_{\alpha+1} : G_{\alpha+1} \to G'_{\alpha+1}$.*

Proof At the initial step choose $a \in G_{\alpha+1}$, $a > G_\alpha$ and $b \in G'_{\alpha+1}$, $b > G'_\alpha$. Let $H = G_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{Q}a$ and let $\sigma : H \to G'_{\alpha+1}$ be

$$\sigma(g + ma) = \sigma_\alpha(g) + mb.$$

Then σ is an order preserving embedding extending σ.

Suppose, in general, we have $G_\alpha \subset H \subset G_{\alpha+1}$, where H is a nontrivial finite dimensional extension of G_α, $\sigma : H \to G'_{\alpha+1}$ extending σ_α and $a \in G_{\alpha+1} \setminus H$. We would like to extend σ to $H \oplus \mathbb{Q}a$. Without loss of generality $a > 0$. There are several cases to consider.

case 1 Suppose $a > H$.

By Lemma 3.5 there is $h \in H$ such $h, 2h, \ldots$ is cofinal in H. Since $G'_{\alpha+1}$ is recursively saturated, there is $b \in G_{\alpha+1}$ such that $b > m\sigma(h)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We can extend σ by setting $\sigma(a) = b$.

case 2 Suppose there is $g \in H$ such that $a > g + G_\alpha$, but $a < g + h$ for all $h \in H$ such that $h > G_0$ (or the similar case where $a < g + G_\alpha$).

Translating by subtracting g, we may assume $g = 0$. By Lemma 3.6 there is a $b \in G'_{\alpha+1}$ with $b > G'_\alpha$ and $b < \sigma(h)$ for all $h \in H$ with $h > G_\alpha$. We extend σ by setting $\sigma(a) = b$.

Let $h_1, \ldots, h_n$ be a basis for H/G_α. Let $C = \{\sum m_i h_i : m_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \sum m_i h_i < a\}$ and $D = \{\sum m_i h_i : m_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \sum m_i h_i > a\}$. Note that if $c \in C$, then, because G_α is convex,, $c + g < a$ for all $g \in G_\alpha$ and similarly if $d \in D$, then $d + g > a$ for all $a \in G_\alpha$.

If C or D is empty, then we are in case 1, while if C has a maximal element or D has a minimal element then we are in case 2. Thus we are left with...

case 3 C has no top element and D has no bottom element. It follows that $\mathrm{tp}(a/H)$ is determined by $\mathrm{tp}(a/C \cup D)$. Let $C^* = \{\overline{m} \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \sum m_i h_i \in C\}$ and let $D^* = \{\overline{m} \in \mathbb{Q}^n : \sum m_i h_i \in D\}$. Since G_α is recursively saturated, C^*, D^* are recursive in elements of $\mathrm{SS}(G_\alpha)$. Since $G'_{\alpha+1}$ is $\mathrm{SS}(G_\alpha)$-saturated, there is $b \in G_{\alpha+1}$ such that $\sum m_i \sigma(h_i) < b \Leftrightarrow \overline{m} \in C^*$. Thus we can extend σ by letting $\sigma(a) = b$.

We can now do a back-and-forth construction iterating Lemma 3.7 to build the isomorphism proving Theorem 3.4.

There are only $2^{\aleph_0}$ possible countable standard systems, so only $2^{\aleph_0}$ possible value groups for real closure of ω_1-like models. On the other hand for any completion $T \supseteq PA$ and any countable Scott set S there are $2^{\aleph_1}$ non-isomorphic ω_1-like models of T with Scott set S all of whose real closures have the same value group.

4 Real Closures of ω_1-like Models

D'Aquino, Knight and Starchenko, conclude in [3] that if $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are countable models of PA with the same Scott set, then their real closures are isomorphic. We will prove that, at least consistently, this fails badly for ω_1-like models.

Theorem 4.1 **($\diamondsuit$)** *Let* $\mathcal{M}_0$ *be a countable recursively saturated model of* PA*. There are are* $2^{\aleph_1}$ ω_1*-like recursively saturated end extensions of* $\mathcal{M}_0$ *such that the real closures of any two are non-isomorphic.*

Note that if $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are ω_1-like recursively saturated models of PA with the same theory and the same standard system then $\mathcal{M} \equiv_{\infty,\omega_1} \mathcal{N}$ (see [6] 10.2.7). Thus, assuming $\diamondsuit$, we have $2^{\aleph_1}$ pairwise L_{∞,ω_1}-equivalent models of PA with non-isomorphic real closures.

Recall that if $\mathcal{M} \models$ PA, we let $Q(\mathcal{M})$ denote its quotient field and $R(\mathcal{M})$ denote its real closure.

The basic idea

We first sketch the basic construction for killing one potential isomorphism.

We will build our models as unions of elementary chains of recursively saturated end extensions. Suppose at some stage of the construction we have $\mathcal{M}_0 \prec_e \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 \prec_e \mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are recursively saturated countable models. Suppose $\sigma : R(\mathcal{M}) \to R(\mathcal{N})$ is an isomorphism between the real closures of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. We will extend $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ so that we guarantee σ does not extend to an isomorphism of the eventual real closures.

We let $\mathcal{N} \prec_e \mathcal{N}'$ be any recursively saturated elementary end extension.

Suppose $l_1 \ll l_2 \ll \ldots$ is a cofinal sequence of infinite elements in $\mathcal{M}$ (where $x \ll y$ if $y > x^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$). We say that the sequence $l_1, l_2, \ldots$ is *coded* in $\mathcal{M} \prec \mathcal{M}'$ if there is $a \in \mathcal{M}'$ coding a sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ where $d > \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i = l_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Lemma 4.2 *If $\mathcal{M} \models$ PA is recursively saturated there are $2^{\aleph_0}$ cofinal sequences $l_1 \ll l_2 \ll \ldots$ such that there is a recursively saturated $\mathcal{M} \prec_e \mathcal{M}'$ with l coded in $\mathcal{M}'$.*

Proof See [6] 10.2.5.

For any sequence $l_1 \ll l_2 \ll \ldots$ cofinal in $\mathcal{M}$ we build a type $p_l(v)$ over $R(\mathcal{M})$ where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{l_i} < v < \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{l_i} + \frac{2}{l_n}.$$

The types $p_l(v)$ are complete and distinct cofinal sequences correspond to distinct types. By Lemma 4.2 we can find such a sequence l such that $\sigma(p_l)$ is not realized in $R(\mathcal{N}')$ and l is coded in a recursively saturated $\mathcal{M} \prec_e \mathcal{M}'$.

The type p_l is realized in $R(\mathcal{M}')$. Let $a \in M'$ code the sequence l and consider they type

$$\gamma(v) = \left\{ \frac{1}{a_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{a_n} < v < \frac{1}{a_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{2}{a_n} : n = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}.$$

This is a recursive type over a and hence realized in $\mathcal{M}'$ (since γ is of bounded complexity we don't even need recursive saturation for this).

While $\sigma(p_l)$ is not realized in $R(\mathcal{N}')$, to kill σ we need to know that $\sigma(p_l)$ won't be realized in $R(\mathcal{N}'')$ for any $\mathcal{N}' \prec_e \mathcal{N}''$.

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose $\mathcal{N} \prec_e \mathcal{N}' \prec_e \mathcal{N}''$. Let $q \in S_1(R(\mathcal{N}))$ such that q is omitted in $R(\mathcal{N}')$. Then q is omitted in $R(\mathcal{N}'')$.*

Proof Suppose $a \in R(\mathcal{N}'')$ realizes q. Let $N > \mathcal{N}$, we claim that $a + \frac{1}{N}$ also realizes q. Let $b \in R(\mathcal{N})$ such that $a < b$. There is $c \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $a < c < b$, as otherwise if $M \in \mathcal{N}'$ and $N > \mathcal{N}$, then $b - \frac{1}{M}$ realizes q. But $\frac{1}{N} < b - c$ thus

$$a + \frac{1}{N} < c + \frac{1}{N} < b.$$

Since this is true for all $b \in \mathcal{N}$ with $a < b$, we have that $a + \frac{1}{N}$ realizes q.

Since $\mathcal{N}''$ is an integer part of $R(\mathcal{N}'')$, $Q(\mathcal{N}'')$ is dense in $R(\mathcal{N}'')$. Thus q is realized in $Q(\mathcal{N}'')$. So we may assume $a \in Q(\mathcal{N}'')$.

Let $d \in \mathcal{N}'$, $d > \mathcal{N}$. In $\mathcal{N}''$ we can find $c < d$ such that $\frac{c}{d} < a \leq \frac{c+1}{d}$. But $\mathcal{N}' \prec_e \mathcal{N}''$, so $c \in \mathcal{N}'$. Arguing as above we see that $\frac{c+1}{d}$ also realizes q and q is realized in $Q(\mathcal{N}')$.

Thus if $\mathcal{M} \prec_e \mathcal{M}' \prec_e \mathcal{M}''$ and $N \prec_e \mathcal{N}' \prec_e \mathcal{N}''$, then σ does not extend to an isomorphism between $R(\mathcal{M}'')$ and $R(\mathcal{N}'')$.

The construction

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $\eta : \alpha \to 2$, we define $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ a countable recursively saturated model of PA such that $R(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$ has universe $\omega(1+\alpha)$, the α^{th} limit ordinal and $\mathcal{M}_\eta \prec_e \mathcal{M}_\nu$ if $\eta \subset \nu$. For $f, g : \omega_1 \to 2$ we will have

$$\mathcal{M}_f = \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} \mathcal{M}_{f|\alpha}.$$

We will arrange things so that if $f \neq g$ we have $R(\mathcal{M}_f) \ncong R(\mathcal{M}_g)$.

Using $\diamondsuit$ we find a sequence $(\sigma_\alpha : \alpha < \omega)$ such that $\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \to \alpha$ and if $\sigma : \omega_1 \to \omega_1$ then

$$S = \{\alpha : \sigma|\alpha = \sigma_\alpha\}$$

is stationary.

If $\eta = \emptyset$ we let $\mathcal{M}_\emptyset = \mathcal{M}_0$.

If α is a limit ordinal and $\eta : \alpha \to 2$ we let $\mathcal{M}_\eta = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{\eta|\beta}$.

If α is a successor ordinal and $\eta : \alpha \to 2$, choose $\mathcal{M}_{\eta,0}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\eta,1}$ any countable recursively saturated end extensions of $\mathcal{M}_\eta$.

The work comes at successors of limit ordinals. Note that $\diamondsuit \Rightarrow$ CH. Thus we can find $\lhd$ a well order of 2^α of order type ω_1. If η is $\lhd$ least in 2^α, we choose $\mathcal{M}_\eta \prec_e \mathcal{M}''_\eta$ recursively saturated.

Suppose we have defined $\mathcal{M}'_\nu$ for all $\nu \lhd \eta$ in 2^α. Let

$$\Sigma_0 = \{\nu \lhd \eta : \nu <_{\text{lex}} \eta \text{ and } \sigma_\alpha \text{ is an isomorphism from } R(\mathcal{M}_\nu) \text{ to } R(\mathcal{M}_\eta)\}$$

and let

$$\Sigma_1 = \{\nu \lhd \eta : \nu <_{\text{lex}} \nu \text{ and } \sigma_\alpha \text{ is an isomorphism from } R(\mathcal{M}_\eta) \text{ to } R(\mathcal{M}_\nu)\}.$$

For $\nu \in \Sigma_0$ let $\tau_\nu = \sigma_\alpha^{-1}$ and for $\nu \in \Sigma_1$, let $\tau_\nu = \sigma_\alpha$. In either case, τ_ν is an isomorphism from $R(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$ to $R(\mathcal{M}_\nu)$. Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1$.

As in the basic module we can find a sequence $l_1 \ll l_2 \ll \ldots$ cofinal in $\mathcal{M}_\eta$ such that for $\nu \in \Sigma$, $\tau_\nu(p_l)$ is omitted in $R(\mathcal{M}'_\nu)$. (Note that since $\lhd$ has order type ω_1 there are only countably many types to avoid.

Once we have defined $\mathcal{M}'_\eta$ for all $\eta \in 2^\alpha$, choose $\mathcal{M}_{\eta,0}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\eta,1}$ recursively saturated elementary end extensions of $\mathcal{M}'_\eta$.

At stage α we have insured that if $\nu \lhd \eta$ and $\sigma_\alpha : R(\mathcal{M}_\nu) \to R(\mathcal{M}_\eta)$ is an isomorphism, then for $f, g \in 2^{\omega_1}$ if $\nu \subset f$ and $\eta \subset g$ then σ_α does not extend to an isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_f$ and $\mathcal{M}_g$.

To finish the proof, suppose $f, g \in 2^{\omega_1}$, $f <_{\text{lex}} g$ and $\sigma : R(\mathcal{M}_f) \to R(\mathcal{M}_g)$ is an isomorphism. Choose α_0 such that $f(\alpha_0) \neq g(\alpha_0)$. The set

$$C = \{\alpha : \omega(1{+}\alpha) = \alpha \text{ and } \sigma|\alpha \text{ is an isomorphism from } R(\mathcal{M}_{f|\alpha}) \text{ to } R(\mathcal{M}_{g|\alpha})\}$$

is closed unbounded. Thus we can find $\alpha \in C$ such that $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma|\alpha$. But we have insured that σ_α does not extend to an isomorphism from $R(\mathcal{M}_{f|\alpha})$ to $R(M_{g|\alpha})$, a contradiction.

References

[1] J. Barwise and J. Schlipf, An introduction to recursively saturated and resplendent models. J. Symbolic Logic 41 (1976), no. 2, 531-536.

[2] M. Carol, P. D'Aquino and S. Kuhlmann, Real closed exponential fields and models of Peano Arithmetic. Preprint.

[3] P. D'Aquino, J. Knight and S. Starchenko, Real closed fields and models of Peano arithmetic. J. Symbolic Logic 75 (2010), no. 1, 1-11.

[4] L. P. D. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, The elementary theory of restricted analytic fields with exponentiation. Ann. of Math. (2) 140 (1994), no. 1, 183-205.

[5] L. Kołodziejczyk and E. Jeřábek, Real closures of models of weak arithmetic, preprint, 2011, 19 pp.

[6] R. Kossak and J. Schmerl, *The Structure of Models of Peano Arithmetic*, Oxford Logic Guides, 50. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[7] F.-V.Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall and M. Zekavat, Embedding ordered fields in formal power series fields. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 169 (2002), no. 1, 71-90.

[8] F.-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann and S. Shelah, Exponentiation in power series fields. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), no. 11, 3177-3183.

[9] M.-H. Mourgues and J. P. Ressaryre, Every real closed field has an integer part. J. Symbolic Logic 58 (1993), no. 2, 641-647.

[10] B. H. Neumann, On ordered division rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66, (1949). 202252.

[11] J. Sheperdson, A non-standard model for a free variable fragment of number theory. Bulletin de l'Academique Polonaise des Sciences. Série des Sciences, Mathematiques, Astronomiques et Phisiques, 12 (1967), pp. 79-86.